# **AQRP Monthly Technical Report**

| PROJECT<br>TITLE        | New Satellite Tools to Evaluate Emission Inventories: Is a 3-D Model Necessary? | PROJECT#          | 20-020    |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|
| PROJECT<br>PARTICIPANTS | University of Wisconsin – Madison<br>Ramboll                                    | DATE<br>SUBMITTED | 5/10/2021 |
| REPORTING<br>PERIOD     | From: April 1, 2020<br>To: April 30, 2020                                       | REPORT #          | 10        |

A Financial Status Report (FSR) and Invoice will be submitted separately from each of the Project Participants reflecting charges for this Reporting Period. I understand that the FSR and Invoice are due to the AQRP by the 15<sup>th</sup> of the month following the reporting period shown above.

## **Detailed Accomplishments by Task for reporting period**

During this reporting period, work was carried out on Tasks 1 - 3.

Task 1: Simulate NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> amounts with the high-resolution WRF-CAMx model

The Ramboll modeling team prepared and completed additional CAMx sensitivity simulations, including adding lightning  $NO_X$  emissions.

## Task 2. Compare model simulations with TROPOMI and near-surface observations

The UW-Madison has completed running WHIPS to grid NASA Standard Product OMI NO<sub>2</sub>, as a cross-check between differing satellite instruments and retrievals. CAMx column amounts have been processed with and without the OMI averaging kernel for comparison with emissions data.

The UW-Madison team has been in regular contact with project collaborator Dr. Dan Goldberg to assure methodological consistency in calculating model column amounts for comparison with satellite observations. The UW-Madison team is documenting these different methodologies to provide guidance to the wider air quality modeling community in the use of satellite data for model evaluation, and for inclusion in a paper (not yet started) led by Dr. Dan Goldberg on the inter-comparison of satellite observations of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, and the CAMx modeling conducted by Ramboll for this project.

### Task 3. Compare satellite data and emissions for power plants and urban areas

The UW-Madison team is continuing work on the comparison of satellite observations of NO<sub>2</sub> with emissions and model column NO<sub>2</sub> amounts in urban areas and at power plant locations.

### **Preliminary Analysis**

None.

| <b>Data Collected</b>  |  |
|--|--|
| None.  |  |
| <b>Identify Any Problems or Issues</b>   | Encountered and Proposed Solutions or Adjustments  |
| None.  |  |
| Goals and Anticipated Issues for   | r the Succeeding Reporting Period  |
| 1 1  | e a final CAMx simulation that includes lightning $NO_X$ ents to mobile source $NO_X$ emissions.   |
| the free troposphere (~3km and absimulations but may be estimated  | 77728). UW-Madison will continue work on the analyses of   |
| sensitivity of the TROPOMI retrie<br>well it may capture the emission p  | eams, with Dr. Dan Goldberg, have discussed the vertical eval, which the retrieval derives from a global model, and how plumes from power plants. We hope this will be clarified as we umn amounts and the applications of the differing averaging   |
| allow. Our NO <sub>2</sub> analysis has highly processing model column estimate include SO <sub>2</sub> would not be simple, | alysis to SO <sub>2</sub> to a limited extent as resource and data integrity lighted nuances in satellite retrieval algorithms and in es, and our team has agreed that expanding our analysis to and would require resources and time beyond what is available rant period. As such, our focus will remain on the analysis of emissions. |
| <b>Detailed Analysis of the Progres</b> None.  | s of the Task Order to Date  |
| 0 0 1  | related to this project currently under development? If so, nd the journals you plan to submit to.   |
|  | lated to this project currently under review by a journal? If d the journal name? Have you sent a copy of the article to nd your TCEQ Liaison?   |
| □ Yes  |  |

|  | liographic publications (ie: publications that cite the project) related to re been published? If so, please list the reference information. List all of the project.   |
|--|---|
| ☐ Yes                                      | ⊠ No  |
|  | esentations related to this project currently under development? If so, ing title, and the conference you plan to present it (this does not include AQRP Workshop).  No |
|  | sentations related to this project that have been published? If so, please ation. List all items for the lifetime of the project.                                       |
| ☐ Yes                                      | $\boxtimes$ No  |
| V 1  | changes occurred that were not listed in the original proposal? If so, iled description of the personnel change(s) below.   |
| ☐ Yes                                      | $\boxtimes$ No  |
| Are any delays expedescription of the po   | cted in the progress of the research? If so, please include a detailed tential delay below.   |
| ☐ Yes                                      | ⊠ No  |
| Describe any possible made aware of. None. | le concerns/issues (technical or non-technical) that AQRP should be   |
|  | using all the available funds allocated to this project by the end date? oximately what is the amount to be returned?   |
| ⊠ Yes                                      | □ No  |
| Submitted to AQRP b                        | y Tracey Holloway   |
| Principal Investigator                     | Tracey Holloway   |